UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,091	08/27/2003	Anthony J. Baerlocher	0112300-1411	4932
Bell, Boyd & L	7590 07/07/200 lovd LLC	EXAMINER		
P.O Box 1135	•	HOEL, MATTHEW D		
Chicago, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/649,091	BAERLOCHER, ANTHONY J.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Matthew D. Hoel	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 ≠ 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)	awn from consideration. ed. <u>9-81,89-91</u> is/are rejected.	g in the application.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims allowed are 1-11,14-16,19-22,26-33,36-41,43-49,52-61,64-66,70-76,78,82-88,92,93,103,117,121,125 and 126.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The applicant in Fig. 8A (element 200) and Paragraphs 96 & 97 discloses this limitation but not in conjunction with the symbol indicators moving relative to the award indicator as cited in Claim 1 from which Claim 17 depends. A useful, functioning device with this combination of limitations could be made by one of ordinary skill in the art, but they are not disclosed together in the same embodiment.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12, 13, 23 to 25, 34, 35, 50, 51, 67 to 69, 79 to 81, and 89 to 91 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 12 cites "the sum of the first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a sum of the first and second awards". Claim 13

Application/Control Number: 10/649,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

cites "the product of the first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a product of the first and second awards". Claims 22, 23, 24, and 25 cite "the same average expected value"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a same average expected value". Claim 34 cites "the sum of the first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a sum of the first and second awards". Claim 35 cites "the product of the indicated first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a product of the indicate first and second awards". Claim 50 cites "the sum of the first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a sum of the first and second awards". Claim 51 cites "the product of the indicated first and second awards"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "a product of the indicated first and second awards". Claims 67, 68, 69, 79, 80, 81, 89, 90, and 91 cite "the average expected total award"; the examiner believes the applicant intends to cite "an average expected total award".

Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew D. Hoel whose telephone number is (571) 272-5961. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Fri., 8:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,091 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Matthew D. Hoel Patent Examiner AU 3714

/Robert E. Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3714

/M. D. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714